

# **The Bright Side of Black Holes**: dark matter, primordial black holes and the cosmic infrared background

## A. Kashlinsky (GSFC/SSAI and Euclid)

In collaboration with R. Arendt, M. Ashby, F. Atrio-Barandela, N. Cappelluti, G. Fazio, A. Finoguenov, A. Ferrara, G. Hasinger, K. Helgason, Y. Li, J. Mather, H. Moseley. M. Ricotti and others.

A. Kashlinsky

Brussels

Apr 2019

#### *Why/what CIB and 1<sup>st</sup> stars and BHs?*



- Galaxies are now found out to  $z \sim 6$
- Star formation increases rapidly between z=0 and ~1
  Systems are metal rich early on
- Colours show 'normal' stellar populations
- Typical mass ~0.3-1 M<sub>•</sub>
- First stars era:
- What were they? (Stars/Black holes?)
- When did they form?
- How long has their era lasted?
- Can be detected perhaps through their unique imprint in
  - cosmic infrared background (CIB)
- **LOOK FOR THESE OBJECTS IN CIB**

## Diffuse background from Pop 3 and BHs (Kashlinsky et al 2004)

If first objects were massive stars or BHs radiating at the Eddington limit they would CIB as follows:

$$\int M n(M) dM = \Omega_{\text{baryon}} 3H_0^2 / 8\pi G f_* \qquad f_* \text{ fraction in Pop 3}$$

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{\int Ln(M)dM}{4\pi d_L^2} \frac{dV}{dt}(1+z)$$

 $dV = 4 \ \pi \ cd_L^2(1+z)^{-1} \ dt \ ; \quad L \approx L_{edd} \propto M \quad ; \quad t_L = \epsilon \ Mc^2/L << t(z=20)$ 



Emissions are cut at  $\lambda > 0.1$  (1+z) µm, or ~ 1µm for z~10

## Mean CIB is difficult to probe because of foregrounds but Zodi and Galactic Cirrus are smooth!



Mean squared flux  $\delta F_{\lambda}^2 = q^2 P_{\lambda}(q)/(2\pi)$ , power  $P = \langle |FFT_{Flux}|^2 \rangle$ , scales via  $q(rad^{-1}) = \ell$  (multipole)

*I.* Shot noise component to power from sources occasionally entering the beam  $\delta F/F \sim 1/N_{beam}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  $P_{SN} = \int S^2(m) dN/dm dm \sim S F_{CIB} \sim n S^2$ . *Units:*  $[P_{SN}] = nJy nW/m^2/sr$  (or  $nW^2/m^4/sr$ )

*II. Clustering component* reflects clustering of the emitters, their epochs and duration of their era.

## CIB fluctuations at 3-8 µm

### from deep Spitzer images (cryogenic + warm era)

A. Kashlinsky, R. Arendt, J. Mather & H. Moseley

(Nature, 2005, 438, 45; ApJL, 2007, 654, L1; 654, L5; 666, L1 – KAMM1-4)

R. Arendt, A. Kashlinsky, H. Moseley & J. Mather (2010, ApJS, 186,10 – AKMM)

A. Kashlinsky et al. (2012, ApJ, 753, 63)

## Results briefly:

- Source-subtracted IRAC images contain significant CIB fluctuations at 3.6 to 8µm.
- These fluctuations come from populations with significant clustering component but only low levels of the shot-noise component.
- There are no correlations between source-subtracted IRAC maps and HST/ACS source catalog maps (< 0.9  $\mu$ m).
- These imply that the CIB fluctuations originate in populations in either 1) 1st 0.5 Gyr or z>6-7 (t<0.5 Gyr), or 2) very faint more local populations not yet observed.
- If at high z, these populations have projected number density of up to a few arcsec<sup>-2</sup> and are within the confusion noise of the present-day instruments.

#### • But so far there is no direct info on the epochs of these populations

### Comparison of self-calibration w standard image assembly



(Median across the array) From Arendt et al (2010)

A. Kashlinsky

#### From Kashlinsky et al (2012)

Averaged over fields. Signal, inc the 3.6x4.5  $\mu$ m cross-power, is measured to ~ 1°



- Measurement now extends to ~ 1deg for 7+ regions
- Shaded region is contribution of remiaining ordinary galaxies (low/high faint end of luminosity function)
- CIB fluctuations continue to diverge to more than 10 X of ordinary galaxies.
- Blue line corresponds to "toy-model" of LCDM populations at z>10
- Fits are reasonable by high-z populations coinciding with first stars epochs

### Estimating contribution from remaining known galaxies per

Helgason, Ricotti, Kashlinsky (HRK12)

## Probing the redshift cone



## Luminosity Functions

## From HRK12 – currently updated to 340+ LF surveys

Arnouts et al. (2005)      1500Å      0.2-1.2      1039      NUV<24.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Wyder et al. (2005) NUV, FUV 0.055 896,1124 $m_{UV} < 20$ GALEX/2dF	
Oesch et al. (2010) 1500Å $0.5-2.5$ 284-403 $\leq 26$ HST ERS	
Oesch et al. (2012) 1500Å $\sim 8$ 70 $H \leq 27.5$ CANDLES/HUDF09/ERS	
Reddy et al. (2008) 1700Å 1.9-3.4 $\sim$ 15.000 $\mathcal{R}$ <25.5 a	
Yoshida et al. (2006) 1500Å ~4,5 3808,539 <26-27 Subaru Deep Field	
McLure et al. (2009) 1500Å $\sim 5,6$ $\sim 1500$ $z' \leq 26$ SXDS/UKIDSS	
Ouchi et al. (2009) 1500Å 7 22 $\lesssim 26$ SDF/GOODS-N	
Bouwens et al. (2007) $1600 \text{\AA}, 1350 \text{\AA} \sim 4,5,6  4671,1416,627  \leq 29  \text{HUDF/GOODS}$	
Bouwens et al. (2011) $1600 \text{\AA}, 1750 \text{\AA}$ $\sim 7, 8$ $73, 59$ $\lesssim 26-29.4$ HUDF09	
Gabasch et al. (2004) $u'g'$ 0.45-5 5558 $I < 26.8$ FORS Deep Field	
Baldry et al. (2005) $0.1u$ <0.3 43223 u<20.5 SDSS	
Faber et al. (2007) B 0.2-1.2 $\sim$ 34000 $R \lesssim 24$ DEEP2/COMBO-17	
Norberg et al. (2002) $b_j$ <0.2 110500 <19.45 2dFGRS	
Blanton et al. (2003b) $0.1 ugriz$ 0.1 147986 <16.5-18.3 SDSS	
Montero-Dorta & Prada (2009) $0.1 ugriz \lesssim 0.2 947053 < 17-19$ SDSS	
Loveday et al. (2012) $0.1 ugriz 0.002-0.5 8647-12860 r < 19.8$ GAMA	
Ilbert et al. (2005) UBVRI 0.05-2.0 11034 $I < 24$ VIMOS-VLT Deep Survey	1 + 1 +
Gabasch et al. (2006) $i'z'r'$ 0.45-3.8 5558 $I < 26.8$ FDF $\phi(L)dL = \phi''$ (exp (-1/)	$L^{dL}$ .
Marchesini et al. (2007) BVR 2.0-3.5 989 $K_s \lesssim 25$ MUSYC/FIRES/GOODS/EIS	
Marchesini et al. (2012) $V$ 0.4-4.0 19403 $H < 27.8, K < 25.6$ <sup>a</sup>	
Hill et al. (2010) <i>ugriz</i> 0.0033-0.1 2437-3267 <18-21 MGC/UKIDSS/SDSS	<u> </u>
<i>YJHK</i> 1589-1798 <17.5-18 0 Model K	-
Dahlen et al. (2005) UBR 0.1-2 18381 $R < 24.5$ GOODS-HST/CTIO/ESO Scheef er fit	··· ·
$J = 0.1-1 = 2768 = K_s < 23.2$	1
Jones et al. (2006) $b_j r_f$ <0.2 138226 $b_j r_f < 15.6, 16.8$ 6dFGS/2MASS	-
JHK JHK <14.7 /SuperCOSMOS $\widehat{c}$ -2	
Bell et al. (2003) $ugriz < 0.1$ 22679 $r < 17.5$ SDSS	1
$K$ 6282 $K < 15.5$ 2MASS $\circ$ $O$	1
Kashikawa et al. (2003) $BK'$ 0.6-3.5 439 $K' < 24$ Subaru Deep Survey $D$	_
Stefanon & Marchesini (2011) JH 1.5-3.5 3496 $K_s < 22.7-25.5$ MUSYC/FIRES/FIREWORKS	
Pozzetti et al. (2003) $JK_s$ 0.2-1.3 489 $K_s < 20$ K20 Survey	-
Feulner et al. (2003) $JK'$ 0.1-0.6 500 $K' < 19.4-20.9$ MUNICS	-
Eke et al. (2005) $JK_s$ 0.01-0.12 16922,15664 $JK_s \gtrsim 15.5$ 2dFGRS/2MASS $-6 = 0.0081\pm0.001$	1 -
Code et al. (2001) $JK_s$ 0.005-0.2 7081,5683 $JK_s \gtrsim 15.5$ 2dFGRS/2MASS $M = 500000000000000000000000000000000000$	6
Since $t = 1.00000$ K 0.01-0.3 40111 K < 17.9, r < 17.6 URIDS-LAS/SDSS $\alpha = -1.17 \pm 0.0$	3
Saracco et al. (2000) $R_s$ 0.001-4 265 $R_s < 24.9$ HDS/FILES $N = 12.25$ $N = 12.25$ $N = 12.25$	1
$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Roccane et al. (2001)} & \text{R}_{s} & 0.005 - 0.05 & 4192 & \text{R}_{20} < 15.55 & 2005 / 0.12 / 0.20 & 0.005 - 0.05 & 4192 & 0.005 & 0.05 & $	
Huang et al. (2003) A $0.001-0.57$ 1050 A $< 15$ 207/AAO $-24$ $-22$ $-20$ $-16$	
Arributs et al. (2007) R $0.2-2$ 21200 $m_{3.6mic} < 21.5$ SWIRE/VVDS $M_{0.1i} = 5\log_{10}h$ /UKIDSS/CFHTLS	
Cirasuolo et al. (2010) $K$ 0.2-4 $\sim$ 50000 $K < 23$ UKIDSS/SXDS	
Babbedge et al. (2006) $L_{3.6\mu m} M_{4.5\mu m}$ 0.01-0.6 34281 <20.2 SWIRE/INT WFS	
Dai et al. (2009) $L_{3.6\mu m} M_{4.5\mu m}$ 0.01-0.6 4905,5847 $LM < 19, I < 20.4$ IRAC-SS/AGES	

A. Kashlinsky

## **Reconstructing CIB from observed counts**



#### COMPARISON of MEASUREMENTS by remaining shot noise (depth)



 $P_{SN}$  shown in nJy nW/m<sup>2</sup>/sr

A. Kashlinsky

## Cross-correlating CIB with CXB (Cappelluti et al 2013, 2017)

- Have constructed unresolved CXB maps using several Msec deep Chandra and Spitzer data
- There exists highly statistically significant crosspower (>5-sigma)
- **CXB-CIB** coherence is  $C = |P_{X-IR}|^2 / P_X / P_{IR} \gtrsim 0.15$
- Indicates at least  $\sqrt{C}$ ~ 35% of the CIB sources are correlated with accreting sources (BHs), proportion far higher than in the present-day populations.



#### **CIB-CXB** cross-power/fluctuations

Kashlinsky Α.

### Observational motivation established with Spitzer, AKARI + Chandra data:



 Spitzer and AKARI measurements uncovered source-subtracted CIB fluctuations significantly in excess of those by remaining known gals. Power consistent with high-z LCDM

 There exists CXB-CIB crosspower in Spitzer+ Chandra data exceeding at >5σ significance the cross-power from known sources and indicating high BH proportion (>1:5) among the CIB sources.

Two current models successfully explain the measurements: 1) direct-collapse-BHs (DCBHs, Yue et al 2013) and 2) primordial LIGO-type BHs making up dark matter (Kashlinsky 2016).

A. Kashlinsky

#### CIB at 2-5 micron: established key properties

- Two components: shot-noise at small scales and clustering component
- Shot noise is from remaining galaxies, but clustering component indicates new pops
- Large-scale component cannot be accounted for by remaining known galaxies
- SED consistent with  $\lambda^{-3}$  from hot Rayleigh-Jeans sources
- Angular spectrum to 1 deg consistent with high-z LCDM-distributed population
- Fluctuations are coherent with unresolved soft-X band (0.5-1keV) CXB indicating at least ~25-40% of sources are accreting BHs
- The clustering component does yet appear to start decreasing as the shot noise is lowered from 7.8 hr/pix to > 21 hr/pix exposures
- No coherence between CIB and unresolved CXB at harder (>1 Kev) X-bands
- The measured coherence cannot be explained by remaining known populations
- Diffuse maps do *not* correlate with either removed sources or extended mask

#### Summary of current CIB measurements: 2-5 micron (Spitzer and AKARI)



The integrated ("quasi-bolometric") excess CIB flux fluctuation from data, w  $\sqrt{P_{\lambda}} \propto \lambda^{-3}$ :

$$\delta F_{2-5\,\mu\mathrm{m}}(5') = \int_{AKARI}^{\mathrm{IRAC}} \left(\frac{q^2 P_{\lambda}}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}$$
$$= \delta F_{4.5\,\mu\mathrm{m}}(5') \left(\frac{(4.5/2.4)^{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha}\right)$$
$$\simeq 0.09 \text{ nW m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$$

The sources producing these CIB fluctuations should have contributed

 $F_{CIB}(2-5\mu m) \sim 1 \ nW/m^2/sr$ 

A. Kashlinsky

#### Can this CIB be produced by high-z sources?

(Kashlinsky et al 2015, ApJ, 804, 99)

- The net CIB fluctuation integrated between 2 and 5  $\mu$ m is  $\delta F_{2-5\mu m} = 0.1 \ nW/m^2/sr$
- The net "bolometric" flux produced by sources at high  $z_{eff}$  emitting radiation at efficiency  $\epsilon$ :

$$F_{\text{tot}} \simeq \frac{\epsilon f}{z_{\text{eff}}} \frac{c}{4\pi} \rho_{\text{bar}} c^2 \simeq 9.1 \times 10^5 \frac{\epsilon f}{z_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\Omega_{\text{bar}} h^2}{0.0227} \text{ nW m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$$

• If P3 then  $\epsilon \sim 0.007$ , if P2 then  $\epsilon \sim 0.0007$ , if BH then one can reach  $\epsilon \sim 0.2$ 

BH emissions:

• Hence to produce the measured  $\delta F_{2-5\mu m} \sim 0.1 \ nW/m^2/sr$  with relative amplitude  $\Delta_{5'} \sim 0.1 \ around 5'$  one needs:

Pop 3 (massive \*s): 
$$f_{P3} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{z_3}{10} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta_{5'}}{0.1} \right)^{-1}$$

**Pop 2 (normal IMF \*s):** 
$$f_{P2} \gtrsim 0.01 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{7 \times 10^{-4}}\right) \left(\frac{z_3}{10}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_{5'}}{0.1}\right)^{-1}.$$

$$f_{\rm BH} \sim 5 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{z_3}{10}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta_{5'}}{0.1}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{0.2}\right)^{-1}$$

These small "reasonable" fractions possibly appear "unreasonable" in "standard" model

A. Kashlinsky

Brussels

Apr 2019

## Formation of 1<sup>st</sup> \*s and CIB in "standard" DM cosmology



## **PBHs and extra fluctuation power**

- If LIGO BHs were PBHs making up DM, there number density would be  $n_{\rm PBH} = \frac{1}{M_{\rm PBH}} \Omega_{\rm CDM} \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} \simeq 10^9 \left(\frac{M_{\rm PBH}}{30M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm CDM}h^2}{0.1}\right) \rm Mpc^{-3}.$
- They would then be present before  $z_{eq}$  and contribute
- Poissonian isocurvature component with the extra power at z:

$$P_{\rm PBH}(z) = \frac{9}{4} (1 + z_{\rm eq})^2 n_{\rm PBH}^{-1} [g(z)]^{-2} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{M_{\rm PBH}}{30M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm CDM} h^2}{0.13}\right) \left(\frac{1}{g^2(z)}\right) \,\mathrm{Mpc}^3$$

 $2\pi/k$  (h<sup>-1</sup>Mpc) 10.0 0.1 1.0 100.0 1000.0 10 This extra power will z = 20dominate the small 10<sup>°</sup> (h<sup>-3</sup>Mpc<sup>3</sup>) scales responsible for collapse of 1<sup>st</sup> minihaloes  $(\underline{x})^{10^{-2}}$ where 1<sup>st</sup> sources form!~ The resultant CIB 10 for  $M_{PBH} = 30M_{\odot}$ would change dramatically. 10<sup>10</sup> 10<sup>12</sup> 10<sup>16</sup> 10<sup>18</sup> 10<sup>20</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>14</sup>  $10^{22}$  $M(2\pi/k)$  $(M_{\odot})$ A. Kashlinsky Brussels Apr 2019

## 1<sup>st</sup> minihalo collapse in presence of DM PBHs



#### FUTURE: Euclid (2013-2031)

#### **LIBRAE** – Looking at Infrared Background Radiation Anisotropies w Euclid

A NASA-selected cosmic infrared background (CIB) study to measure what were the 1<sup>st</sup> sources - Pop 3 stars, BHs, and in what proportions, when and how many - as well as probe IGM and BAOs at 10<z<20.



- Launch in ~2022 for 6-yr mission at L2
- One visible band VIS around 0.6 mic
- Three NIR bands from 1 to 2 micron
- Instantaneous FOV ~  $0.5 \text{ deg}^2$
- Wide survey ~ 35-45% of sky to AB~26
- Deep survey covers 40 deg<sup>2</sup> to AB~28
- LIBRAE was selected to complement the main goal of measuring Dark Energy evolution w weak lensing and BAO
- The project will measure all-sky CIB fluctuations with sub-percent stat accuracy
- Measure cross-power with all-sky CXB (eROSITA+) and CMB (S4+) maps
- Determine the epochs (Lyman break) of the populations
- Determine the SED of these (new) populations
  - A. Kashlinsky



## LIBRAE – Looking at Infrared Background Radiation Anisotropies with Euclid

https://www.euclid.caltech.edu/page/Kashlinsky%20Team

#### The planned science:



PI – A. Kashlinsky

A. Kashlinsky



#### LIBRAE: probing source-subtracted CIB and its Lyman break



- Because fluctuation in visible bands are significant compared to the remaining sourcesubtracted CIB one needs to remove sources to AB  $\gtrsim$  25 to probe reliably any Lyman-break in the CIB fluctuation.
- Euclid will remove sources in VIS deep enough to comfortably probe the Lyman break of the source-subtracted CIB fluctuation.
- The large area will enable probing it with sub-percent statistical accuracy

٠



Remaining known gals (Wide/Deep Surveys)

A. Kashlinsky



#### LIBRAE + eROSITA/Athena: probing 1<sup>st</sup> BHs



10 2π/q (arcmin) • CXB fluctuation implied by new pops consistent w high-z origin

• Its amplitude is such that the CXB due to these sources is hard to probe directly



 eROSITA and Athena in conjunction with Euclid will be able to probe this CXB signal w. high fidelity between 1' and ~2°

Kashlinsky et al. 2019, ApJ(Letters), 871, L6



A. Kashlinsky

 $1.2 \times 10$ 

es/bi 6.0×10<sup>-1</sup> (b)<sup>802</sup> 4.0×10<sup>-1</sup> 2.0×10<sup>-1</sup>

1.0×10 sc/cm<sub>2</sub>/sec/cm 8.0×10

100

## Summary of LIBRAE prospects for PBH-DM

#### Where we are now

#### Where LIBRAE can be



A. Kashlinsky

# Summary

- Current measurements with Spitzer established CIB fluctuations well in excess of those from known galaxies.
- There appears a high coherence between unresolved CIB & CXB implying a high fraction of the sources in black holes.
- The extra power implied by the source-subtracted CIB may be indicative of the PBH-DM collusion, which is further supported by the CIB-CXB coherence.
- There are now preparations for LIBRAE@Euclid which will resolve this CIB signal with <1% accuracy and identify the nature and epochs of the sources producing it.
- eROSITA/Athena will be critical for CIB-CXB probe w LIBRAE.

## • STAY TUNED!